The County of Phelps Rolla, Missouri Independent Auditor's Report and Financial Statements For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015



The County of Phelps Rolla, Missouri Table of Contents

Financial Section	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	
Financial Statements:	
Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash-	
All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis	
For the year ended December 31, 2016.	4
For the year ended December 31, 2015.	5
Comparative Statements of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash-	
Budget & Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis	
For the years ended December 31, 2016 & 2015	6
Notes to the Financial Statements.	23
Federal Compliance Section	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance	
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	33
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and	
on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	35
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	38
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	41
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	42
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Responses	46



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Phelps County, Missouri

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Phelps County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Phelps County, Missouri's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statements, the financial statements are prepared by Phelps County, Missouri on the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statements of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the *Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of Phelps County, Missouri as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, or changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended.

Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the cash balances of each fund of Phelps County, Missouri as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and their respective cash receipts and disbursements, and budgetary results for the years then ended in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law as described in Note 1.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Phelps County, Missouri's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 13, 2018 on our consideration of Phelps County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over

financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Phelps County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Phelps County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Creve Coeur, Missouri November 13, 2018

The County of Phelps Rolla, Missouri Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis For the year ended December 31, 2016

.	Cash and Equivalents Receipts January 1, 2016 2016				D	isbursements	and Equivalents
<u>Fund</u>			-		_	2016	 ember 31, 2016
General Revenue Fund	\$	1,089,534	\$	4,414,429	\$	4,163,603	\$ 1,340,360
Special Road and Bridge Fund		550,869		3,974,356		3,475,399	1,049,826
Assessment Fund		165,274		612,791		565,097	212,968
Road and Bridge Debt Service Fund		273,215		870		-	274,085
Unemployment Fund		177,803		-		6,373	171,430
Use Tax Fund		302,417		936		868	302,485
Health Department Fund		243,519		863,726		858,593	248,652
Special Election Fund		-		97,649		96,246	1,403
Crisis Intervention Fund		1,436		1,782		2,532	686
Election Services Fund		23,583		6,411		13,189	16,805
Sheriff's Training Fund		32,809		11,896		5,530	39,175
Sheriff's Drug Enforcement Fund		3,059,632		639,373		777,959	2,921,046
Sheriff's Civil Fee Fund		154,187		50,019		49,047	155,159
Sheriff's Revolving Fund		107,752		75,593		43,993	139,352
Law Enforcement Fund		2,384,545		5,384,386		4,072,587	3,696,344
Sheriff's Inmate Security Fund		45,890		55,894		43,699	58,085
Law Enforcement Building Maintenance Fund		238,789		26,047		16,200	248,636
Law Enforcement Restitution Fund		34,725		84,569		90,000	29,294
Prosecuting Attorney Drug Enforcement Fund		365,654		101,046		157,590	309,110
Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund		9,918		2,128		105	11,941
Prosecuting Attorney Delinquent Tax Fund		35,163		390		760	34,793
Administrative Handling Fund		105,810		19,776		29,101	96,485
Shelter Fund		2,925		12,502		11,497	3,930
Recorder User Fee Fund		32,734		23,185		13,842	42,077
Collector's Tax Maintenance Fund		67,491		46,175		49,895	63,771
Public Facilities Authority Fund		837,252		4,795		11,433	830,614
Jay White Estate Fund		214,657		1,138		1,567	214,228
Community Development Block Grant Fund		-		443,996		443,996	-
Developmentally Disabled Fund		438,260		437,931		452,372	423,819
Senior Companions Fund		788		333,252		333,599	441
Senate Bill 40 Board Fund		2,076,258		1,545,275		938,220	2,683,313
Total	\$	13,072,889	\$	19,272,316	\$	16,724,892	\$ 15,620,313
		- ,- : ,- **		- , - ,			 - , ,

The County of Phelps Rolla, Missouri Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis For the year ended December 31, 2015

Fund	Cash and Equivalents January 1, 2015 Receipts 2015				Di	sbursements 2015		and Equivalents mber 31, 2015
General Revenue Fund	\$	887,614	\$	4,400,102	\$	4,198,182	\$	1,089,534
Special Road and Bridge Fund	Ψ	667,720	Ψ	3,011,427	Ψ	3,128,278	Ψ	550,869
Assessment Fund		149,824		595,360		579,910		165,274
Road and Bridge Debt Service Fund		430,977		1,238		159.000		273,215
Unemployment Fund		218,004		1,230		40,201		177,803
Use Tax Fund		302,300		868		751		302,417
Health Department Fund		220,296		874,066		850,843		243,519
Special Election Fund		3,099		64,117		67,216		
Crisis Intervention Fund		767			4,462		1,436	
Election Services Fund		31,831			16,805		23,583	
Sheriff's Training Fund		26,090		8,557 10,430		3,711		32,809
Sheriff's Drug Enforcement Fund		2,879,994		695,774		516,136		3,059,632
Sheriff's Civil Fee Fund		132,929		55,624		34,366		154,187
Sheriff's Revolving Fund		91,804		57,724		41,776		107,752
Law Enforcement Fund		1,859,664		4,349,974		3,825,093		2,384,545
Sheriff's Inmate Security Fund		26,824		57,081		38,015		45,890
Law Enforcement Building Maintenance Fund		212,512		26,277		,		238,789
Law Enforcement Restitution Fund		35,880		88,845		90,000		34,725
Prosecuting Attorney Drug Enforcement Fund		422,037		119,864		176,247		365,654
Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund		8,120		1,798		-		9,918
Prosecuting Attorney Delinquent Tax Fund		34,628		1,534		999		35,163
Administrative Handling Fund		114,903		18,480		27,573		105,810
Shelter Fund		2,488		11,663		11,226		2,925
Recorder User Fee Fund		37,641		22,176		27,083		32,734
Collector's Tax Maintenance Fund		65,551		44,118		42,178		67,491
Public Facilities Authority Fund		863,551		49,645		75,944		837,252
Jay White Estate Fund		213,634		1,567		544		214,657
Community Development Block Grant Fund		820		392,186		393,006		-
Developmentally Disabled Fund		359,607		380,550		301,897		438,260
Senior Companions Fund		5,444		318,625		323,281		788
Senate Bill 40 Board Fund		1,621,001		1,362,818		907,561		2,076,258
Total	\$	11,927,554	\$	17,027,619	\$	15,882,284	\$	13,072,889

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				General Re	venue F	und		
		20	16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts								
Property Taxes	\$	785,404	\$	884,680	\$	801,920	\$	791,306
Sales Taxes		1,828,088		1,843,525		1,749,441		1,828,088
Intergovernmental		870,566		804,213		905,579		927,405
Charges for Services		662,025		725,877		802,750		650,104
Interest		4,500		7,358		7,500		7,082
Other Receipts		92,428		81,859		91,605		92,933
Transfers In		75,500		66,917		97,500		103,184
Total Receipts	\$	4,318,511	\$	4,414,429	\$	4,456,295	\$	4,400,102
Dishumsomonts								
<u>Disbursements</u> County Commission	\$	201,683	\$	201,468	\$	200,765	\$	196,304
County Clerk	Ф	264,736	Ф	258,894	Ф	301,454	Ф	257,841
Elections		204,730		186,334		88,050		59,793
		527,709		515,932				523,402
Buildings and Grounds						525,651 78,864		
County Treasurer		78,420 226,152		78,503		,		77,255
County Collector Recorder of Deeds		,		219,039		222,506		212,950
		168,032		161,270		167,122 75,590		150,308
Circuit Clerk		53,870		44,347		,		67,450
Court Administration		36,400		30,252		31,500		31,781
Public Administrator		165,573		157,951		163,141		157,378
Prosecuting Attorney		709,604		717,137		713,254		697,785
Juvenile Officer		493,050		436,214		479,050		442,297
County Coroner		46,319		40,235		48,729		47,279
Circuit Judges		28,100		6,277		162,518		144,192
Courthouse Security		194,354		208,644		263,885		149,382
Surveyor		5,000		5,000		7,500		7,500
Other Disbursements		247,963		180,461		270,090		259,215
Emergency		128,890				135,182		-
Transfers Out		766,070		715,645		716,070		716,070
Total Disbursements	\$	4,547,792	\$	4,163,603	\$	4,650,921	\$	4,198,182
Receipts Over (Under)								
Disbursements	\$	(229,281)	\$	250,826	\$	(194,626)	\$	201,920
Cash and Cash Equivalents								
January 1		1,089,534		1,089,534		887,614		887,614
Cash and Cash Equivalents								
December 31	\$	860,253	\$	1,340,360	\$	692,988	\$	1,089,534

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Special Road a	nd Brid	lge Fund		
		20	16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts	<u>-</u>							
Property Taxes	\$	580,829	\$	579,132	\$	588,000	\$	520,702
Sales Taxes		976,588		984,835		934,574		976,588
Intergovernmental		1,673,979		2,400,297		1,377,102		1,285,316
Charges for Services		-		_		2,000		40,000
Interest		1,500		3,253		1,000		2,976
Other Receipts		16,010		6,839		11,346		26,845
Transfers In		152,000				<u>-</u> _		159,000
Total Receipts	\$	3,400,906	\$	3,974,356	\$	2,914,022	\$	3,011,427
<u>Disbursements</u>								
Salaries	\$	683,512	\$	656,768	\$	640,506	\$	609,246
Employee Fringe Benefits	Ψ	256,621	Ψ	238,765	Ψ	229,404	Ψ	209,514
Supplies Supplies		37,425		33,273		34,425		31,411
Insurance		28,000		27,127		28,000		26,706
Road and Bridge Materials		2,043,500		1,352,688		1,533,051		1,453,677
Equipment Repairs		210,000		243,788		214,612		126,412
Equipment Purchases		71,000		79,001		127,720		292,505
Road and Bridge Construction		215,879		544,129		287,872		56,151
Other Disbursements		379,811		299,860		406,810		322,656
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements	\$	3,925,748	\$	3,475,399	\$	3,502,400	\$	3,128,278
Receipts Over (Under)								
Disbursements	\$	(524,842)	\$	498,957	\$	(588,378)	\$	(116,851)
Cash and Cash Equivalents								
January 1		550,869		550,869		667,720		667,720
Cash and Cash Equivalents	Φ	26.027	ф	1.040.026	ф	70.242	¢	550.060
December 31	\$	26,027	\$	1,049,826	\$	79,342	\$	550,869

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Assessm	ent Fu	ind				Roa	d and Bridge	Debt S	ervice Fund		
	20	016			20)15		20	16			20	15	
	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>	 													
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes	-		-		-		-	-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental	422,521		466,464		408,776		406,038	-		-		-		-
Charges for Services	81,502		32,337		79,918		83,590	-		-		-		-
Interest	600		867		600		684	600		870		150		1,238
Other Receipts	31,540		38,123		38,048		30,048	-		-		-		-
Transfers In	75,000		75,000		75,000		75,000	-		-		-		-
Total Receipts	\$ 611,163	\$	612,791	\$	602,342	\$	595,360	\$ 600	\$	870	\$	150	\$	1,238
<u>Disbursements</u> Salaries	\$ 349,506	\$	333,364	\$	319,589	\$	326,181	\$ -	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
Employee Fringe Benefits	98,398		99,858		98,431		101,618	-		_		-		-
Materials and Supplies	22,150		20,774		24,100		20,688	_		_		_		_
Services	131,909		108,183		129,060		127,140	_		_		_		_
Capital Outlay	9,200		2,918		7,050		4,167	_		_		_		_
Other Disbursements	, <u> </u>		, _		1,000		116	_		_		_		_
Transfers Out	_		_		-		-	152,000		_		159,000		159,000
Total Disbursements	\$ 611,163	\$	565,097	\$	579,230	\$	579,910	\$ 152,000	\$	-	\$	159,000	\$	159,000
Receipts Over (Under)														
Disbursements	\$ -	\$	47,694	\$	23,112	\$	15,450	\$ (151,400)	\$	870	\$	(158,850)	\$	(157,762)
Cash and Cash Equivalents January 1	 165,274		165,274		149,824		149,824	273,215		273,215		430,977		430,977
Cash and Cash Equivalents December 31	\$ 165,274	\$	212,968	\$	172,936	\$	165,274	\$ 121,815	\$	274,085	\$	272,127	\$	273,215

Rolla, Missouri

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Unemploy	ment I	Fund						Use Ta	ax Fun	d		
		20	16			20	15			20)16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Interest		-		-		-		-		800		936		800		868
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In																
Total Receipts	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	800	\$	936	\$	800	\$	868
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Services		10,000		6,373		40,000		201		-		-		-		-
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers Out		10,000		_		40,000		40,000		800		868		1,600		751
Total Disbursements	\$	20,000	\$	6,373	\$	80,000	\$	40,201	\$	800	\$	868	\$	1,600	\$	751
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(20,000)	\$	(6,373)	\$	(80,000)	\$	(40,201)	\$	-	\$	68	\$	(800)	\$	117
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		177,803		177,803		218,004		218,004		302,417		302,417		302,300		302,300
			-		-				-				-			
Cash and Cash Equivalents December 31	¢	157,803	•	171,430	\$	138,004	\$	177,803	•	302,417	•	302,485	•	301,500	\$	302,417
December 31	\$	137,003	Ф	1/1,430	Ф	130,004	Ф	177,003	Φ	304,417	D	304,463	φ	301,300	φ	302,417

Rolla, Missouri

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Health Depa	artment	Fund		
	•	20	16	_		20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts				_				
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		611,400		630,123		600,900		639,948
Charges for Services		129,850		126,078		118,550		125,266
Interest		600		781		400		651
Other Receipts		17,900		26,744		18,772		27,776
Transfers In		130,425		80,000		80,425		80,425
Total Receipts	\$ 890,175		\$	863,726	\$	819,047	\$	874,066
<u>Disbursements</u>								
Salaries	\$	583,455	\$	591,919	\$	591,700	\$	584,147
Employee Fringe Benefits	4	160,693	Ψ	152,136	Ψ	172,136	Ψ	159,423
Materials and Supplies		7,500		10,226		8,100		7,276
Services		142,775		93,686		79,160		97,129
Capital Outlay		1,600		8,426		1,200		1,342
Other Disbursements		1,500		2,200		450		1,526
Transfers Out		-,		-,		-		-,
Total Disbursements	\$	897,523	\$	858,593	\$	852,746	\$	850,843
Receipts Over (Under)								
Disbursements	\$	(7,348)	\$	5,133	\$	(33,699)	\$	23,223
Cash and Cash Equivalents								
January 1		243,519	-	243,519		220,296		220,296
Cash and Cash Equivalents								
December 31	\$	236,171	\$	248,652	\$	186,597	\$	243,519

Rolla, Missouri

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Special Ele	ection F	und						Crisis Interv	ention	Fund		
		20)16	-		20)15			20)16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual	Е	Budget		Actual	E	Budget	1	Actual]	Budget	1	Actual
<u>Receipts</u>																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		5,000		1,782		3,000		5,131
Charges for Services		120,000		97,649		-		64,117		-		-		-		-
Interest		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Receipts	\$	120,000	\$	97,649	\$		\$	64,117	\$	5,000	\$	1,782	\$	3,000	\$	5,131
Disbursements																
Salaries	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
Employee Fringe Benefits		_		_	·	_	·	_		_	·	_		_		_
Materials and Supplies		71,000		42,441		_		24,167		_		_		_		_
Services		44,000		38,731		_		40,978		5,000		2,532		3,000		4,462
Capital Outlay				-		_		-		-		_,		-		-,
Other Disbursements		5,000		15,074		_		2,071		_		_		_		_
Transfers Out		-				_		_,		_		_		_		_
Total Disbursements	\$	120,000	\$	96,246	\$	_	\$	67,216	\$	5,000	\$	2,532	\$	3,000	\$	4,462
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	1,403	\$	-	\$	(3,099)	\$	-	\$	(750)	\$	-	\$	669
Cash and Cash Equivalents January 1		_		_		3,099		3,099		1,436		1,436		767		767
· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-,		-,>		-, 0		-,				
Cash and Cash Equivalents	ф		Φ.	1 400	Φ.	2.005	Φ.		Φ.	1 10 -	Φ.	-0	ф	5 .5	Φ.	1.405
December 31	\$		\$	1,403	\$	3,099	\$	-	\$	1,436	\$	686	\$	767	\$	1,436

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Election Se	rvices I	Fund						Sheriff's Tr	aining	Fund		
		20	16			20	15			20)16			20	15	
	E	Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		9,000		6,358		6,600		8,477		3,350		3,556		4,000		3,341
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		7,090		8,340		7,000		7,089
Interest		100		49		100		76		-		-		-		-
Other Receipts		4		4		-		4		-		-		-		-
Transfers In	<u></u>											-				
Total Receipts	\$	9,104	\$	6,411	\$	6,700	\$	8,557	\$	10,440	\$	11,896	\$	11,000	\$	10,430
Disbursements																
Salaries	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Employee Fringe Benefits	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
Materials and Supplies		500		37		500		1,140		_		_		_		_
Services		1,600		2,057		1,100		1,557		4,000		5,530		4,900		3,711
Capital Outlay		14,715		11,095		2,000		13,591		-,000		-		-,,,,,,		-
Other Disbursements		1,725		-		1,000		517		_		_		100		_
Transfers Out		-		_		-		_		_		_		_		_
Total Disbursements	\$	18,540	\$	13,189	\$	4,600	\$	16,805	\$	4,000	\$	5,530	\$	5,000	\$	3,711
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(9,436)	\$	(6,778)	\$	2,100	\$	(8,248)	\$	6,440	\$	6,366	\$	6,000	\$	6,719
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		23,583		23,583		31,831		31,831		32,809		32,809		26,090		26,090
Cash and Cash Equivalents	Φ.	14.145	ф	16.005	Φ.	22.021	ф	22 505	ф	20.245	Φ.	20.177	Φ.	22 000	ф	22 000
December 31	\$	14,147	\$	16,805	\$	33,931	\$	23,583	\$	39,249	\$	39,175	\$	32,090	\$	32,809

Rolla, Missouri

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Sl	neriff's Drug Ei	nforce	ement Fund						Sheriff's Ci	vil Fee	Fund		
		20)16			20	15			20)16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>				_												
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		558,766		608,911		225,000		672,355		18,500		16,510		21,500		18,396
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		37,000		33,034		38,500		36,820
Interest		13,000		11,401		6,000		12,669		400		475		300		408
Other Receipts		12,000		19,061		8,000		10,750		-		-		-		-
Transfers In																
Total Receipts	\$	583,766	\$	639,373	\$	239,000	\$	695,774	\$	55,900	\$	50,019	\$	60,300	\$	55,624
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries	\$		\$		\$	49,525	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Employee Fringe Benefits	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	4 2,323	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
Materials and Supplies		5,200		16,828		20,000		5,160		3,500		3,569		3,900		3,272
Services		105,000		134,145		86,700		94,434		18,800		33,895		21,400		19,835
Capital Outlay		294,000		499,364		342,000		346,196		10,000		33,073		2,700		423
Other Disbursements		10,000		54,779		40,000		8,966		1,200		1,190		4,000		1,123
Transfers Out		58,000		72,843		40,000		61,380		10,425		10,393		10,000		9,713
Total Disbursements	\$	472,200	\$	777,959	\$	578,225	\$	516,136	\$	33,925	\$	49,047	\$	42,000	\$	34,366
Total Disoursements	Ψ	472,200	Ψ	111,555	Ψ	370,223	Ψ	310,130	Ψ	33,723	Ψ	77,047	Ψ	42,000	Ψ	34,300
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	111,566	\$	(138,586)	\$	(339,225)	\$	179,638	\$	21,975	\$	972	\$	18,300	\$	21,258
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		3,059,632		3,059,632		2,879,994		2,879,994		154,187		154,187		132,929		132,929
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
December 31	\$	3,171,198	\$	2,921,046	\$	2,540,769	\$	3,059,632	\$	176,162	\$	155,159	\$	151,229	\$	154,187

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Sheriff's Rev	olving	Fund						Law Enforc	emen	t Fund		
		20	16			20	15			20	16			20	15	
]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		2,103,490		2,121,011		1,987,966		2,103,490
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		1,227,837		2,316,696		1,110,500		1,339,733
Charges for Services		40,000		75,180		40,000		56,639		141,860		149,555		155,600		146,467
Interest		300		413		250		289		5,790		8,555		3,700		5,792
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		61,400		80,628		42,000		62,920
Transfers In		-		-		-		796		696,394		707,941		702,406		691,572
Total Receipts	\$	40,300	\$	75,593	\$	40,250	\$	57,724	\$	4,236,771	\$	5,384,386	\$	4,002,172	\$	4,349,974
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries	\$	-	\$	-	\$	23,836	\$	2,185	\$	2,309,543	\$	2,254,228	\$	2,141,689	\$	2,176,030
Employee Fringe Benefits		1,400		-		-		1,274		706,366		710,106		651,060		653,278
Materials and Supplies		2,300		608		2,500		2,273		310,000		358,003		254,200		302,067
Services		14,000		17,685		12,100		13,564		667,650		658,927		669,750		612,883
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		55,650		51,998		52,000		40,422
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		15,900		14,325		15,500		15,413
Transfers Out		24,970		25,700		-		22,480		25,000		25,000		25,000		25,000
Total Disbursements	\$	42,670	\$	43,993	\$	38,436	\$	41,776	\$	4,090,109	\$	4,072,587	\$	3,809,199	\$	3,825,093
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(2,370)	\$	31,600	\$	1,814	\$	15,948	\$	146,662	\$	1,311,799	\$	192,973	\$	524,881
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		107,752		107,752		91,804		91,804		2,384,545		2,384,545		1,859,664		1,859,664
Cash and Cash Equivalents December 31	\$	105,382	\$	139,352	\$	93,618	\$	107,752	\$	2,531,207	\$	3,696,344	\$	2,052,637	\$	2,384,545
2 ccomber 51	Ψ	100,002	Ψ	137,332	Ψ	75,010	Ψ	107,732	Ψ	_,551,207	Ψ	2,070,317	Ψ	_,052,057	Ψ	_,501,515

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Sl	neriff's Inmat	e Secur	ity Fund				Lav	w Enfo	rcement Buil	ding M	Iaintenance Fi	and	
		20)16			20	15			20	16			20	15	
	I	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		55,000		55,717		45,000		56,978		-		-		-		-
Interest		100		177		50		103		1,276		1,047		500		1,277
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-								25,000		25,000		25,000		25,000
Total Receipts	\$	55,100	\$	55,894	\$	45,050	\$	57,081	\$	26,276	\$	26,047	\$	25,500	\$	26,277
D' I																
<u>Disbursements</u> Salaries	¢		ď		ď		¢		¢		¢		¢		¢	
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies Services		22 400		22 209		22 400		20.114		-		-		-		-
Capital Outlay		33,400		33,398		32,400		29,114		-		16 200		-		-
Other Disbursements		5,800		6,941		5,500		5,751		80,000		16,200		-		-
Transfers Out		-		3,360		-		2 150		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements	-\$	39,200	\$	43,699	\$	37,900	\$	3,150	\$	80,000	\$	16,200	\$	 -	\$	
Total Disbursements	<u> </u>	39,200	<u> </u>	45,099	Φ	37,900	Φ	36,013	Φ	80,000	<u> </u>	10,200	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	15,900	\$	12,195	\$	7,150	\$	19,066	\$	(53,724)	\$	9,847	\$	25,500	\$	26,277
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		45,890		45,890		26,824		26,824		238,789		238,789		212,512		212,512
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
December 31	\$	61,790	\$	58,085	\$	33,974	\$	45,890	\$	185,065	\$	248,636	\$	238,012	\$	238,789

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

		Law Enforcement Restitution Fund								Pro	osecuti	ng Attorney I	Orug E	Enforcement Fu	ınd	
		20	16			20	15			20	16			20	15	
	I	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		300,000		98,114		40,000		111,523
Charges for Services		88,000		84,442		85,000		88,739		-		-		-		-
Interest		100		127		100		106		2,000		1,820		600		2,416
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		5,000		1,112		1,000		5,925
Transfers In																
Total Receipts	\$	88,100	\$	84,569	\$	85,100	\$	88,845	\$	307,000	\$	101,046	\$	41,600	\$	119,864
Disbursements																
Salaries	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Employee Fringe Benefits	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ	_
Materials and Supplies		_		_				_		8,000		7,739		8,000		6,279
Services		_		_		5,000		_		114,500		80,430		114,500		75,081
Capital Outlay		_		_		5,000		_		17,000		50,894		17,000		46,127
Other Disbursements		_		_		_		_		22,000		15,988		22,000		43,953
Transfers Out		90,000		90,000		90,000		90,000		10,000		2,539		10,000		4,807
Total Disbursements	\$	90,000	\$	90,000	\$	95,000	\$	90,000	\$	171,500	\$	157,590	\$	171,500	\$	176,247
Total Bisoursements	Ψ	70,000	Ψ	<i>70</i> ,000	Ψ	<i>55</i> ,000	Ψ	20,000	Ψ	171,500	Ψ	137,370	Ψ	171,500	Ψ	170,217
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(1,900)	\$	(5,431)	\$	(9,900)	\$	(1,155)	\$	135,500	\$	(56,544)	\$	(129,900)	\$	(56,383)
	T	(-, /	-	(=,:==)	-	(2,500)	-	(=,===)	-	,	-	(= =,= : :)	_	(,,,,,,,	_	(= =,= ==)
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		34,725		34,725		35,880		35,880		365,654		365,654		422,037		422,037
		- ,						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						,,,,,,		,
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
December 31	\$	32,825	\$	29,294	\$	25,980	\$	34,725	\$	501,154	\$	309,110	\$	292,137	\$	365,654
					_				_							

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			cuting Attor	ining Fund			P	rosecut	ing Attorney	Deling	uent Tax Fun	d				
		20	16			20	15			20	16			20	15	
	В	udget	1	Actual	В	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		1,780		2,128		2,500		1,798		1,500		288		3,000		1,431
Interest		-		-		-		-		100		102		80		103
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-														
Total Receipts	\$	1,780	\$	2,128	\$	2,500	\$	1,798	\$	1,600	\$	390	\$	3,080	\$	1,534
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
Services		2,000		105		2,000		-		500		-		500		214
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		-				-		
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		3,500		760		3,500		785
Transfers Out		<u> </u>								<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Total Disbursements	\$	2,000	\$	105	\$	2,000	\$		\$	4,000	\$	760	\$	4,000	\$	999
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(220)	\$	2,023	\$	500	\$	1,798	\$	(2,400)	\$	(370)	\$	(920)	\$	535
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		9,918		9,918		8,120		8,120		35,163		35,163		34,628		34,628
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
December 31	\$	9,698	\$	11,941	\$	8,620	\$	9,918	\$	32,763	\$	34,793	\$	33,708	\$	35,163

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			A	dministrative	ing Fund					Shelte	r Fund					
		20				20	15			20	16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		17,443		19,253		17,000		18,063		10,000		12,501		12,000		11,644
Interest		319		315		300		406		15		1		10		19
Other Receipts		483		208		300		11		-		-		-		-
Transfers In																
Total Receipts	\$	18,245	\$	19,776	\$	17,600	\$	18,480	\$	10,015	\$	12,502	\$	12,010	\$	11,663
Disbursements																
Salaries	\$	20,000	\$	20,583	\$	20,000	\$	20,250	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Employee Fringe Benefits	Ψ.	4,125	Ψ	3,808	Ψ	3,695	Ψ	3,865	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
Materials and Supplies		500		-		500		98		_		_		_		_
Services		4,000		2,858		5,000		1,795		10,015		11,497		12,010		11,226
Capital Outlay		500		_,000		500		-		-		-		-		-
Other Disbursements		1,570		1,852		1,000		1,565		_		_		_		_
Transfers Out		-,		-,		-,		-,		_		_		_		_
Total Disbursements	\$	30,695	\$	29,101	\$	30,695	\$	27,573	\$	10,015	\$	11,497	\$	12,010	\$	11,226
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(12,450)	\$	(9,325)	\$	(13,095)	\$	(9,093)	\$	-	\$	1,005	\$	-	\$	437
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		105,810		105,810		114,903		114,903		2,925		2,925		2,488		2,488
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
December 31	\$	93,360	\$	96,485	\$	101,808	\$	105,810	\$	2,925	\$	3,930	\$	2,488	\$	2,925

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Recorder U	ser Fee	Fund					Coll	lector's Tax N	/Iainter	nance Fund		
		20	16			20	15			20	16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts								<u> </u>								
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		22,578		23,025		21,400		22,020		53,000		45,946		55,000		43,876
Interest		116		120		116		113		280		229		150		242
Other Receipts		-		40		-		43		-		-		500		-
Transfers In																
Total Receipts		22,694	\$	23,185	\$	21,516	\$	22,176	\$	53,280	\$	46,175	\$	55,650	\$	44,118
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Employee Fringe Benefits		_	·	_		_		_		_	·	_	·	_	·	_
Materials and Supplies		400		255		400		55		6,000		5,769		5,300		5,889
Services		35,000		11,350		26,000		20,725		45,900		27,242		45,900		25,568
Capital Outlay		4,000		2,237		3,400		4,712		24,200		8,961		25,550		7,691
Other Disbursements		2,000		_		2,000		1,591		7,000		7,923		7,350		3,030
Transfers Out		_		-		_		-		_		_		-		-
Total Disbursements	\$	41,400	\$	13,842	\$	31,800	\$	27,083	\$	83,100	\$	49,895	\$	84,100	\$	42,178
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(18,706)	\$	9,343	\$	(10,284)	\$	(4,907)	\$	(29,820)	\$	(3,720)	\$	(28,450)	\$	1,940
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		32,734		32,734		37,641		37,641		67,491		67,491		65,551		65,551
Cash and Cash Equivalents	ф	14.026	Ф	12.075	ф	27.257	ф	22.72.1	ф	27.67	Ф	60.771	ф	27.101	Ф	c7 401
December 31	\$	14,028	\$	42,077	\$	27,357	\$	32,734	\$	37,671	\$	63,771	\$	37,101	\$	67,491

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Pu	ıblic Facilities	Auth	ority Fund						Jay White	Estate	Fund		
		20	16			20	15			20)16			20	15	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts		_				_				_				_		
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Interest		4,000		4,795		2,000		6,943		1,500		1,138		500		1,567
Other Receipts		-		-		-		42,702		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		_		_		_		-		-		_		_		_
Total Receipts	\$	4,000	\$	4,795	\$	2,000	\$	49,645	\$	1,500	\$	1,138	\$	500	\$	1,567
Disbursements																
Salaries	\$		\$		\$	_	\$		\$		\$	_	\$		\$	
Employee Fringe Benefits	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ	_
Materials and Supplies		_		_		_		_						_		_
Services		_		_		_		_						_		_
Capital Outlay		177,000		4,490		115,000		73,862		_				_		_
Other Disbursements		177,000		7,770		113,000		73,002		_		_		_		_
Transfers Out		4,000		6,943		2,000		2,082		1,500		1,567		500		544
Total Disbursements	\$	181,000	\$	11,433	\$	117,000	\$	75,944	\$	1,500	\$	1,567	\$	500	\$	544
Total Bigo argumento		101,000	Ψ	11,.00	Ψ	117,000	Ψ	70,>	Ψ	1,000	Ψ	1,007	Ψ		Ψ	<u></u>
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(177,000)	\$	(6,638)	\$	(115,000)	\$	(26,299)	\$	-	\$	(429)	\$	-	\$	1,023
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
January 1		837,252		837,252		863,551		863,551		214,657		214,657		213,634		213,634
Cash and Cash Equivalents																
December 31	\$	660,252	\$	830,614	\$	748,551	\$	837,252	\$	214,657	\$	214,228	\$	213,634	\$	214,657

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

		C	ommu	nity Developn	k Grant Fu				De	evelopmentall	y Disa	bled Fund				
	<u> </u>	20	016			20	15			20	16			20	15	
	Bud	lget		Actual	Bu	dget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	409,100	\$	434,211	\$	385,350	\$	377,676
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		443,996		-		389,912		1,500		1,974		1,000		1,473
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Interest		-		-		-		-		1,000		1,746		1,000		1,401
Other Receipts		-		-		-		2,274		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Receipts	\$	-	\$	443,996	\$	-	\$	392,186	\$	411,600	\$	437,931	\$	387,350	\$	380,550
Disbursements																
Salaries	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Employee Fringe Benefits		_		_		_		_		-		_		_		_
Materials and Supplies		_		_		_		_		-		_		-		_
Services		_		443,996		_		389,912		421,395		452,372		400,656		301,897
Capital Outlay		_		, -		_		, -		, -		, _		, -		_
Other Disbursements		_		_		_		3,094		-		_		15,000		_
Transfers Out		_		-		_		_		-		-		_		-
Total Disbursements	\$	-	\$	443,996	\$	_	\$	393,006	\$	421,395	\$	452,372	\$	415,656	\$	301,897
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(820)	\$	(9,795)	\$	(14,441)	\$	(28,306)	\$	78,653
Cook and Cook Envisedants																
Cash and Cash Equivalents January 1		_		_		820		820		438,260		438,260		359,607		359,607
Julianiy 1					-	020	-	020		.50,200		.50,200		203,007		207,007
Cash and Cash Equivalents			_		_		_		_		_				_	
December 31	\$	-	\$		\$	820	\$		\$	428,465	\$	423,819	\$	331,301	\$	438,260

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

		Senior Comp	s Fund					Senate Bill 4	0 Boa	ard Fund					
	20)16			20)15			20)16			20	15	
	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>															
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental	332,150		333,252		332,150		318,625		1,157,504		1,544,083		1,077,832		1,361,892
Charges for Services	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Interest	-		-		-		-		-		1,168		-		926
Other Receipts	-		-		4,500		-		-		24		15,000		-
Transfers In	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Receipts	\$ 332,150	\$	333,252	\$	336,650	\$	318,625	\$	1,157,504	\$	1,545,275	\$	1,092,832	\$	1,362,818
Disbursements															
Salaries	\$ 83,045	\$	79,853	\$	80,601	\$	80,280	\$	530,000	\$	606,221	\$	489,462	\$	600,828
Employee Fringe Benefits	21,196		22,264		25,376		19,851		124,500		154,808		119,500		138,437
Materials and Supplies	´ -				´ <u>-</u>				50,000		22,906		50,000		24,605
Services	227,909		231,482		226,173		223,150		177,600		140,171		195,641		131,153
Capital Outlay	´ -				´ -				_				, -		2,292
Other Disbursements	_		_		_		_		17,500		14,114		17,500		10,246
Transfers Out	_		_		_		_		-				, -		, -
Total Disbursements	\$ 332,150	\$	333,599	\$	332,150	\$	323,281	\$	899,600	\$	938,220	\$	872,103	\$	907,561
Receipts Over (Under)															
Disbursements	\$ -	\$	(347)	\$	4,500	\$	(4,656)	\$	257,904	\$	607,055	\$	220,729	\$	455,257
Cash and Cash Equivalents															
January 1	788		788		5,444		5,444		2,076,258		2,076,258		1,621,001		1,621,001
	 , 50		, 55		2,		2,	-	_,0.0,200		_,0.0,200		-,021,001	-	-,021,001
Cash and Cash Equivalents															
December 31	\$ 788	\$	441	\$	9,944	\$	788	\$	2,334,162	\$	2,683,313	\$	1,841,730	\$	2,076,258

Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Phelps County, Missouri, which is governed by a three-member board of commissioners, was established in 1857 by an Act of the Missouri Territory. In addition to the three Commissioners, there are ten elected Constitutional Officers: County Clerk, Collector, Treasurer, Circuit Clerk, Recorder of Deeds, Sheriff, Assessor, Coroner, Public Administrator, and Prosecuting Attorney.

As discussed further in Note 1, these financial statements are presented on the regulatory basis of accounting. This basis of accounting differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Reporting Entity

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, as applicable to the regulatory basis of accounting, these financial statements present financial accountability of Phelps County, Missouri and the Phelps County Senate Bill 40 Board.

The County's operations include tax assessments and collections, state/county courts, county recorder, public safety, transportation, economic development, social and human services, and recreation services.

The financial statements referred to above include only the primary government of Phelps County, Missouri, which consists of all funds, organizations, institutions, agencies, departments, and offices that are considered to comprise Phelps County's legal entity. The Phelps County Senate Bill 40 Board is controlled by a separate board and is also included under the control of Phelps County.

The financial statements do not include financial data for the County's legally separate component unit, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as applicable to the regulatory basis of accounting, require to be reported with the financial data of the County. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as applicable to the regulatory basis of accounting, the Phelps County Regional Medical Center has issued separate reporting entity financial statements. For information on this component unit, please contact the Phelps County Regional Medical Center at (573) 458-8899 or write to 1000 West Tenth Street, Rolla, MO 65401.

Certain elected County officials, such as the County Collector, Treasurer, and Sheriff, collect and hold monies in a trustee capacity as an agent of an individual, taxing units, or other government. These assets, which are held by these officeholders for the sole benefit of external parties, are not reported on the accompanying financial statements and are unaudited.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements present the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of all funds of Phelps County, Missouri, and the comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for all funds of the County. The funds presented are established under statutory or administrative authority, and their operations are under the control of the County Commission or an elected county official. The General Revenue Fund is the County's general operation fund, accounting for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The other funds presented account for financial resources whose use is restricted for specified purposes.

Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements were prepared using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law, which differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

As a result of the use of this regulatory basis of accounting, certain assets (such as accounts receivable and capital assets), certain revenues (such as revenue for billed or provided services not yet collected), certain liabilities (such as accounts payable, certificates of participation bonds and obligations under capital leases) and certain expenditures (such as expenditures for goods or services received but not yet paid) are not recorded in these financial statements.

If Phelps County utilized the basis of accounting recognized as generally accepted, the fund financial statements for governmental funds would use the modified accrual basis of accounting, while the fund financial statements for proprietary fund types, if applicable, would use the accrual basis of accounting. All government-wide financials would be presented on the accrual basis of accounting.

Budget and Budgetary Accounting

In accordance with Chapter 50 RSMo, Phelps County adopts a budget for each governmental fund.

On or before January 15th, each elected official and department director will transmit to the County Clerk, who serves as budget officer, the budget request and revenue estimates for his or her office or department for the budget year.

The County Clerk submits to the County Commission a proposed budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1. The proposed budget includes estimated revenues and proposed expenditures for all budgeted funds. Budgeted expenditures cannot exceed beginning available monies plus estimated revenues for the year. Budgeting of appropriations is based upon an estimated unencumbered fund balance at the beginning of the year, as well as estimated revenues to be received. The budget to actual comparisons in these financial statements, however, do not present encumbered fund balances, but only compare budgeted and actual revenues and expenditures.

A public hearing is conducted to obtain public comment. Prior to its approval by the County Commission, the budget document is available for public inspection.

Prior to February 1, the budget is legally enacted by a vote of the County Commission.

Subsequent to its formal approval of the budget, the County Commission has the authority to make necessary adjustments to the budget by formal vote of the Commission. Adjustments made during the year are reflected in the budget financial statements.

Budgeted amounts are as originally adopted, or as amended by the County Commission throughout the year.

Budgets are prepared and adopted on the cash basis of accounting.

Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Budget and Budgetary Accounting (continued)

During the audit, it was noted the County was not in compliance with Missouri budgetary statute RSMo. Chapter 50. The following funds had actual expenditures which exceeded the budgeted expenditures in 2016: Use Tax Fund, Sheriff's Training Fund, Sheriff's Drug Enforcement Training Fund, Sheriff's Civil Fee Fund, Sheriff's Revolving Fund, Sheriff's Inmate Security Fund, Shelter Fund, Jay White Estate Fund, Developmentally Disabled Fund, Senior Companions Fund, and the Senate Bill 40 Board Fund. The following funds had actual expenditures which exceeded the budgeted expenditures in 2015: Assessment Fund, Crisis Intervention Fund, Election Services Fund, Sheriff's Revolving Fund, Law Enforcement Fund, Inmate Security Fund, Prosecuting Attorney Drug Enforcement Fund, Jay White Estate Fund, and the Senate Bill 40 Board Fund. The following fund did not have a budget prepared for 2016: Community Development Block Grant Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared for 2015: Special Election Fund and Community Development Block Grant Fund.

Taxes

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are levied on October 1 and tax bills are mailed to taxpayers in November, at which time they are payable. All unpaid property taxes become delinquent as of January 1 of the following year.

The assessed valuation of the tangible taxable property included within Phelps County's boundaries for the calendar year 2016 and 2015, respectively, for the purposes of County taxation, was:

	2016	2015
Real Estate	\$ 446,236,640	\$ 444,490,160
Personal Property	111,884,567	105,218,364
Railroad and Utilities	21,671,978	20,863,588
	\$ 579,793,185	\$ 570,572,112

The tax levy per \$100 assessed valuation of tangible taxable property for the calendar year 2016 and 2015, respectively, for the purpose of County taxation, was as follows:

	2016	2015
General Revenue Fund	\$ 0.1447	\$ 0.1490
Special Road and Bridge Fund	0.0887	0.0914
Developmentally Disabled Fund	0.0717	0.0717

The County also receives sales tax collected by the State and remitted based on the County's sales tax rate to the total sales tax collected in the County.

Notes to the Financial Statements For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Cash Deposits and Investments

Deposits and investments are stated at cost, which approximates market. Cash balances for all the County Treasurer's funds are pooled and invested to the extent possible. Interest earned from such investments is allocated to each of the funds based on the funds' average daily cash balance. Cash equivalents include instruments with an original maturity of ninety days or less. State law authorizes the deposit of funds in banks and trust companies or the investment of funds in bonds or treasury certificates of the United States, other interest bearing obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States, bonds of the State of Missouri or other government bonds, or time certificates of deposit, provided, however, that no such investments shall be purchased at a price in excess of par. Funds in the form of cash on deposit or time certificates of deposit are required to be insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or collateralized by authorized investments held in Phelps County's name at third-party banking institutions. Details of these cash balances are presented in Note 2.

Interfund Transactions

During the course of operations, interfund activity occurs for purposes of providing supplemental funding, reimbursements for goods provided or services rendered, or short and long-term financing.

Interfund activities are reported as "transfers in" by the recipient fund and as "transfers out" by the disbursing fund. However, interfund reimbursements have been eliminated from the financial statements in order that reimbursed expenditures are reported only in the funds incurring the costs.

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments

Phelps County maintains a cash and temporary investment pool that is available for use by applicable funds. Deposits with maturities greater than three months are considered investments. Each fund type's portion of this pool is displayed on the statement of receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash arising from cash transactions as "Cash and Equivalents".

Missouri statutes require that all deposits with financial institutions be collateralized in an amount at least equal to uninsured deposits.

The bank balances and carrying values of deposits and investments shown below are included in the financial statements at December 31, 2016, as follows:

	Bank Balances Carrying Value
Deposits	\$ 13,943,344 \$ 13,595,313
Investments	2,025,000 2,025,000
Restricted Cash	<u> </u>

Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (continued)

The bank balances and carrying values of deposits and investments shown below are included in the financial statements at December 31, 2015, as follows:

	Bank Balances C	Carrying Value
Deposits	\$ 11,179,360 \$	5 10,847,889
Investments	2,225,000	2,225,000
Restricted Cash	<u> </u>	

Note: Bank balances are inclusive of all funds of Phelps County, and as such, include balances of unaudited funds which are not included in the scope of this report.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

For a deposit, custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the government's deposits may not be returned to it. Phelps County's investment policy does include custodial credit risk requirements. Phelps County's deposits were not exposed to custodial credit risk for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments

Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by the party that sold the security to Phelps County or its agent but not in the government's name. Phelps County does have a policy for custodial credit risk relating to investments.

All investments, evidenced by individual securities, are registered in the name of Phelps County or of a type that are not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Investment Interest Rate Risk

Investment interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Phelps County does have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

Concentration of Investment Credit Risk

Concentration of investment credit risk is required to be disclosed by Phelps County for any single investment that represents 5% or more of total investments (excluding investments issued by or explicitly guaranteed by the U. S. Government, investments in mutual funds, investments in external investment pools and investments in other pooled investments). Phelps County has a policy in place to minimize the risk of loss resulting from over concentration of assets of a specific maturity, specific issuer or specific class of securities.

Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (continued)

Concentration of Investment Credit Risk (continued)

The following investments represent more than 5%, individually, of total investments:

	Investment						
Issuer	Туре	2016 Balance		% of Portfolio	_201	15 Balance	% of Portfolio
First State Community Bank	Bank CD	\$	225,000	11.11%	\$	225,000	10.11%
First State Community Bank	Bank CD		600,000	29.63%		700,000	31.46%
First State Community Bank	Bank CD		150,000	7.41%		150,000	6.74%
First State Community Bank	Bank CD		700,000	34.57%		700,000	31.46%
First State Community Bank	Bank CD		150,000	7.41%		250,000	11.24%

Note 3 - Interfund Transfers

Transfers between funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

	2016			2015				
<u>Fund</u>	Trai	nsfers In	Tra	nsfers Out	Transfers In		Transfers Out	
General Revenue Fund	\$	66,917	\$	715,645	\$	103,184	\$	716,070
Special Road and Bridge Fund		-		-		159,000		-
Assessment Fund		75,000		-		75,000		-
Road and Bridge Debt Service Fund		-		-		_		159,000
Unemployment Fund		-		-		_		40,000
Use Tax Fund		-		868		-		751
Health Department Fund		80,000		-		80,425		-
Sheriff's Drug Enforcement Fund		-		72,843		-		61,380
Sheriff's Civil Fee Fund		-		10,393		-		9,713
Sheriff's Revolving Fund		-		25,700		796		22,480
Law Enforcement Fund		707,941		25,000		691,572		25,000
Sheriff's Inmate Security Fund		-		3,360		_		3,150
Law Enforcement Building Maintenance Fund		25,000		-		25,000		-
Law Enforcement Restitution Fund		-		90,000		-		90,000
Prosecuting Attorney Drug Enforcement Fund		-		2,539		-		4,807
Public Facilities Authority Fund		-		6,943		-		2,082
Jay White Estate Fund				1,567				544
Total	\$	954,858	\$	954,858	\$	1,134,977	\$	1,134,977

Notes to the Financial Statements For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 4 - County Employees' Retirement Fund (CERF)

Plan Description

The County Employees' Retirement Fund is a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan covering any county elected or appointed officer or employee whose performance requires the actual performance of duties during not less than one thousand (1,000) hours per calendar year in each county of the state, except for any city not within a county and any county of the first classification having a charter form of government. It does not include county prosecuting attorneys covered under RSMo. Sections 56.800 to 56.840, circuit clerks and deputy circuit clerks covered under the Missouri State Retirement System, county sheriffs covered under RSMo. Sections 57.949 to 57.997, and certain personnel not defined as an employee per RSMo. Section 50.1000(8). The Fund was created by an act of legislature and was effective August 28, 1994.

The general administration and the responsibility for the proper operation of the fund and the investment of the fund are vested in a board of directors of eleven persons.

Pension Benefits

Beginning January 1, 1997, employees attaining the age of sixty-two years may retire with full benefits with eight or more years of creditable service. The monthly benefit for County Employees is determined by selecting the highest benefit calculated using three different prescribed formulas (flat-dollar formula, targeted replacement ratio formula, and prior plan's formula). A death benefit of \$10,000 will be paid to the designated beneficiary of every active member upon his or her death.

Upon termination of employment, any member who is vested is entitled to a deferred annuity, payable at age sixty-two. Early retirement is at age fifty-five. Any member with less than eight years of creditable service forfeits all rights in the fund but will be paid his or her accumulated contributions.

Copies of these statements may be obtained from the Board of Directors of CERF by writing to CERF, 2121 Schotthill Woods Drive, Jefferson City, MO 65109, or by calling 1-877-632-2373.

Contributions

In accordance with State Statutes, the Plan is partially funded through various fees collected by counties and remitted to CERF. All participants hired on or after February 24, 2002 are required to contribute an additional 4% of their gross compensation to CERF starting January 1, 2003. An active LAGERS participant who was employed with the County prior to February 24, 2002, is not required to make contributions. During 2016 and 2015, the County collected and remitted to CERF employee contributions of \$164,348 and \$153,799, respectively, for the years ended.

Note 5 - Missouri Local Government Employees Retirement System (LAGERS)

Plan Description

The County participates in the Missouri Local Government Employees Retirement System (LAGERS), an agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for local government entities in Missouri. LAGERS is a defined benefit pension plan which provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. LAGERS was created and is governed by statute, RSMo. Sections 70.600-70.755. As such, it is the system's responsibility to administer the law in accordance with the expressed intent of the General Assembly. The plan is qualified under the Internal Revenue Code Section 401(a) and is tax exempt.

Notes to the Financial Statements For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 5 - Missouri Local Government Employees Retirement System (LAGERS) (continued)

Plan Description (continued)

The Missouri Local Government Employees Retirement System issues a publically available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to LAGERS, P.O. Box 1665, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102, by calling (800) 447-4334, or by visiting the LAGERS website at www.molagers.org.

Benefits Provided

Benefits are available to all full-time employees working in a LAGERS covered department. Benefits vest when an employee earns five years (60 months) of service credit in the system. Normal retirement age is 60 (General) or 55 (Police) and early retirement age is 55 (General) and 50 (Police). Benefits are paid out using a formula that is based on the employee's final average salary and the amount of credited service time.

Contributions

Full-time employees of the County contribute 4% to the pension plan. The January 1st statutorily required employer contribution rates were 7.50% (General) and 8.10% (Police) of annual covered payroll for the year ended December 31, 2016, and 8.90% (General) and 9.00% (Police) of annual covered payroll for the year ended December 31, 2015. The contribution requirements of plan members are determined by the governing body of the political subdivision. The contribution provisions of the political subdivision are established by state statute. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the County contributed \$385,607 and \$436,772 to LAGERS.

Note 6 - Prosecuting Attorney Retirement Fund

In accordance with state statute Chapter 56.807 RSMo, Phelps County contributes monthly to the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services for deposit to the credit of the Missouri Prosecuting Attorneys' and Circuit Attorneys' Retirement System. Once remitted, the State of Missouri is responsible for administration of this plan. Phelps County has contributed \$7,752 and \$7,752, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Note 7 - Post-Employment Benefits

Phelps County post-employment benefits include those which are mandated by the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) and retiree participation in the County health insurance plan. The requirements established by COBRA are fully funded by employees who elect coverage under the Act, and no direct costs are incurred by Phelps County. Also, retirees with more than 15 years may remain on the health insurance plan until they are Medicare eligible. If an employee has over 25 years of service with the County, the County will continue to pay the same portion of health insurance that was paid before the employee retired, until the employee becomes Medicare eligible. The cost of the post-employment benefits to Phelps County was \$37,439 and \$31,369 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 8 - Claims, Commitments and Contingencies

Compensated Absences

The County provides employees with up to four weeks of paid vacation based upon the number of years of continuous service with four weeks being the maximum amount that can be accrued. Sick leave is accumulated at the rate of four hours per month with no limit on the amount that can be accrued. Upon termination from county employment, an employee is reimbursed for unused vacation and overtime, if applicable but not sick time. These have not been subjected to auditing procedures.

Federal and State Assisted Programs

The County has received proceeds from several federal and state grants. Periodic audits of these grants are required and certain costs may be questioned as not appropriate expenditures under the grant agreements. Such audits could result in the refund of grant monies to the grantor agencies. Management believes that any required refunds, if determined necessary, will be immaterial. No provision has been made in the accompanying financial statements for the potential refund of grant monies.

Note 9 - Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees and natural disasters, and has established a risk management strategy that attempts to minimize losses and the carrying costs of insurance. There have been no significant reductions in coverage from the prior year and settlements have not exceeded coverage in the past three years.

The County is a member participant in a public entity risk pool which is a corporate and political body created pursuant to state statute (Chapter 537.700 RSMo). The purpose of the risk pool is to provide liability protection to participating public entities, their officials, and employees. Annual contributions are collected based on actuarial projections to produce sufficient funds to meet its obligations, the risk pool is empowered with the ability to make specific assessments. Members are jointly and severally liable for all claims against the risk pool.

The County is also a member of the Missouri Association of Counties Self-Injured Workers' Compensation and Insurance Fund. The County purchases workers' compensation insurance through this Fund, a non-profit corporation established for the purpose of providing insurance coverage for Missouri counties. The Fund is self-insured up to \$500,000 per occurrence and is reinsured up to the statutory limit through excess insurance.

Note 10 - Long-Term Debt

In February of 2015, the County entered into a non-cancelable lease purchase agreement to finance the purchase of one 2015 Caterpillar excavator at a cost of \$106,872. The agreement requires two annual payments of \$20,769 and one final payment of \$70,000 ending in 2017, which includes interest payable at 2.98%.

Year ending						
December 31,	Principal		 Interest	Total		
2017	\$	67,939	\$ 2,061	\$	70,000	

Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 11 - Operating Leases

In September of 2013, the County entered into a non-cancelable lease agreement for a postage meter inclusive of maintenance and updates. The agreement requires monthly payments for \$975 for 60 months. At the end of the lease, the County has an option to purchase the postage meter at fair market value.

In July of 2016, the County entered into a non-cancelable lease agreement for one 2016 Caterpillar 12M3AWD Motor Grader. The agreement requires annual payments of \$23,766 ending in July of 2018. At the end of the lease, the County has an option to purchase the grader for \$230,790.

In December of 2016, the County entered into a non-cancelable lease agreement for two 2016 Caterpillar 12M3AWD Motor Graders. The agreement requires annual payments of \$41,093 ending in December of 2018. At the end of the lease, the County has an option to purchase the graders for \$234,885 each.

Future minimum payments for the year ended December 31, 2016, are as follows:

Year ending			Ca	ıterpillar	C	aterpillar			
December 31,	Postage Meter		Grader Lease #1		Grad	er Lease #2	Total		
2017	\$	11,700	\$	23,766	\$	41,093	\$	76,559	
2018		8,775						8,775	
	\$	20,475	\$	23,766	\$	41,093	\$	85,334	

Note 12 - Subsequent Events

The County has evaluated events subsequent to December 31, 2016 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events have been evaluated through November 13, 2018, the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

In February of 2017, the County entered into a non-cancelable lease agreement for one 2017 Caterpillar excavator. The agreement requires annual payments of \$21,376 ending in February of 2018. At the end of the lease, the County has an option to purchase the excavator for \$73,375.

In August of 2018, the County entered into a non-cancelable lease agreement for one 2018 Caterpillar 12M3AWD Motor Grader. The agreement requires annual payments of \$25,676 ending in August of 2025. At the end of the lease, the County has an option to purchase the grader for \$140,280.

In August of 2018, the County entered into a non-cancelable lease agreement for one 2018 Caterpillar 12M3AWD Motor Grader. The agreement requires annual payments of \$25,676 ending in August of 2025. At the end of the lease, the County has an option to purchase the grader for \$140,280.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Phelps County, Missouri

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of Phelps County, Missouri as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Phelps County, Missouri's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 13, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Phelps County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Phelps County, Missouri's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Phelps County, Missouri's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs that we consider to be significant deficiencies as items 2016-001 and 2016-002.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Phelps County, Missouri's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2016-003.

Phelps County, Missouri's Response to Findings

Phelps County, Missouri's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Phelps County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Creve Coeur, Missouri November 13, 2018



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Phelps County, Missouri

Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program

We have audited Phelps County, Missouri's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on Phelps County, Missouri's major federal program for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. Phelps County, Missouri's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for Phelps County, Missouri's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Phelps County, Missouri's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Phelps County, Missouri's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Phelps County, Missouri complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal programs for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance which is required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2016-004. Our opinion on the major federal programs is not modified with respect to this matter.

Phelps County, Missouri's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Phelps County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of Phelps County, Missouri is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Phelps County, Missouri's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Phelps County, Missouri's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. We identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2016-004, that we consider to be a material weaknesses.

Phelps County, Missouri's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Phelps County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Creve Coeur, Missouri November 13, 2018

Stopp & Varity.

The County of Phelps Rolla, Missouri Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal Pass-Through CFDA Entity			xpenditures December 31,	Awards Provided to Subrecipients	
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	2016	2015	2016	2015
HG B						
U.S. Department of Agriculture Passed through state:						
Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services -						
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for						
Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	ERS04515026	\$ -	\$ 188,874	\$ -	\$ -
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for						
Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	ERS04516028	168,795	68,484	-	-
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	EDC04517045	65.610			
women, infants, and Children	10.557	ERS04517045	65,618 234,413	257,358		
			234,413	257,336	-	_
Forest Services Schools and Roads Cluster						
Passed through state:						
Missouri Office of Administration -						
Schools and Roads - Grants to States	10.665	n/a	191,949	199,925	143,962	149,944
Total Forest Services Schools and Roads Cluster			191,949	199,925	143,962	149,944
Passed through state:						
Missouri Office of Administration -						
Law Enforcement Agreements	10.XXX	n/a	_	4,064	-	-
C						
Law Enforcement Agreements	10.704	13-LE-1109-0500-010	1,992	-	-	-
Law Enforcement Agreements	10.704	13-LE-1109-0500-026	2,500			
			4,492			
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			430,854	461,347	143,962	149,944
Total C.S. Department of Agriculture			130,031	401,547	143,702	110,011
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development						
Passed through state:						
Missouri Department of Economic Development -						
Community Development Block Grants/State's					*	
Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228	2015-PF-03	248,000	-	248,000	-
Community Development Block Grants/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228	2014-PF-12	93,545	2,000		
Community Development Block Grants/State's	14.226	2014-F1-12	93,343	2,000	-	-
Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228	2010-PF-63	2,500	305,669	2,500	305,669
Community Development Block Grants/State's			,	,	,	,
Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228	2009-PF-49	99,951	82,243	99,951	82,243
Total U.S. Department of Housing and			112.006	200.012	250 451	207.012
Urban Development			443,996	389,912	350,451	387,912
U.S. Department of the Interior						
Direct Program:						
PILT - Payment in Lieu of Taxes	15.226	n/a	113,653	114,177		
Total U.S. Department of the Interior			113,653	114,177	-	_
U.S. Department of Justice						
Direct Program:	1 6 77777	,		22.522		
Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.XXX	n/a 2016-AP-BX-0151	1 247	23,522	-	-
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606	2010-AP-DA-0131	1,247	-	-	-
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	MO081013A	157,588	176,249	13,027	14,434
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	MO0810000	777,960	516,136	57,280	10,300
			935,548	692,385	70,307	24,734
m . 1770 5			-			
Total U.S. Department of Justice			936,795	715,907	70,307	24,734

The County of Phelps Rolla, Missouri Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA	Pass-Through Entity	Federal Expenditures Year Ended December 31,		Awards Provided to Subrecipients	
	Number	Number	2016	2015	2016	2015
U.S Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction Cluster Passed through state: Missouri Department of Transportation						
Highway Planning and Construction Highway Planning and Construction	20.205 20.205	FLAP-9900(597) BRO-B081(12)	94,990 225,081	12,594 22,818	<u> </u>	- -
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			320,071	35,412	-	-
Highway Safety Cluster Passed through state:						
Missouri Department of Transportation						
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	16-PT-02-098	4,963	-	-	-
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	15-PT-02-127	4,963	4,620 4,620	<u> </u>	-
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	n/a	_	11,638	_	_
	20.010	11/4	1.062			
Total Highway Safety Cluster			4,963	16,258	-	-
Passed through state: Missouri Department of Transportation						
Alcohol Open Container Requirements	20.607	16-154-AL-102	6,342	- 226	-	-
Alcohol Open Container Requirements	20.607	15-154-AL-117	6,342	6,326 6,326		-
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			331,376	57,996	-	-
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services						
Passed through state:						
Missouri Department of Social Services - Child Support Enforcement	93.563	ER10214C070	71,461	54,499	-	-
Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services - Medical Reserve Corps Small Grant Program	93.008	MRC15-0269	-	3,500	-	-
Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	93.074	AOC16380077	14,441	6,707	-	-
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	AOC14380115	43,959	38,357	-	-
Preventative Health and Health Services Block Grant	93.758	AOC15380136	15,835	18,493	-	-
Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767	AOC15380228	66,062	55,922	_	-
Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767	AOC12380259		14,126	<u> </u>	-
			66,062	70,048	-	-
Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control	93.945	AOC15380136	-	377	-	-
Maternal Child and Health Services						
Block Grant to the States Maternal Child and Health Services	93.994	AOC13380061	-	24,032	-	-
Block Grant to the States Maternal Child and Health Services	93.994	AOC16380041	25,700	5,287	-	-
Block Grant to the States	93.994	AOC15380065	42,288	46,275	-	-
Maternal Child and Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994	AOC15380015	94,965	89,852	_	_
Diser Grant to the States	75.77	11001000010	162,953	165,446		_

The County of Phelps Rolla, Missouri Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal Pass-Through Federal Expenditures Federal Grantor/Pass-Through CFDA Entity Year Ended December 31,		Awards Provided to Subrecipients			
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	2016	2015	2016	2015
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Cont.) CCDF Cluster Passed through state: Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services -						
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	DH160014064	5,156	_	_	_
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	ERS22014072	4,285	5,075	_	_
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	DH150008023	-1,203	8,956	_	_
Clind Care and Development Block Grant	75.575	D11130000023		0,750		
Total CCDF Cluster			9,441	14,031	-	-
Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster Passed through: U.S. Corporation for National and Community Service						
Senior Companion Program	94.016	16SCWMO001	175,972	157,627		
Senior Companion Program Senior Companion Program	94.016	13SXWMO001	175,972	323,282	-	-
Senior Companion Program	94.016	138X W MO001		323,282		
Total Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster			175,972	480,909		
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			560,124	852,367	-	-
U.S. Department of Homeland Security						
Passed through state:						
Missouri Emergency Management Agency -						
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	FEMA-4238-DR-MO	592,134			
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.030	TEMA-4230-DR-MO	392,134	-	-	-
(Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	FEMA-4250-DR-MO	1,335,983	_	_	_
(Fresidentially Declared Disasters)	97.030	TEMA-4230-DK-MO	1,928,117			
			1,720,117			
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	2015-EP-00043-086	1,386	9,654		
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			1,929,503	9,654		
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 4,746,301	\$ 2,601,360	\$ 564,720	\$ 562,590

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Purpose of Schedule and Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared to comply with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). This Uniform Guidance requires a schedule that provides total federal awards expended for each federal program and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number or other identifying number when the CFDA number is not available.

This schedule includes all federal awards administered by Phelps County, Missouri.

Basis of Presentation

The *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* includes these definitions, which govern the contents of the schedule:

Federal financial assistance means assistance that non-Federal entities receive or administer in the form of grants, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other assistance, but does not include amounts received as reimbursement for services rendered to individuals.

Federal award means Federal financial assistance and Federal cost-reimbursement contracts that non-Federal entities receive directly from Federal awarding agencies or indirectly from pass-through entities. It does not include procurement contracts, under grants or contracts, used to buy goods or services from vendors.

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the cash basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the financial statements.

Phelps County, Missouri has not elected to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate.

Note 2 - Reconciliation of Expenditures

The County accounts for receipts and disbursements of federal awards for CFDA #10.665 - Schools and Roads - Grants to States on behalf of subrecipients in an agency capacity. Because agency funds are not reported, the financial statements only present the County's portion of the award. A reconciliation of total expenditures is as follows:

	2016	2015
Total expenditures as reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:	\$ 191,949	\$ 199,925
Less: Awards provided to subrecipients	 (143,962)	 (149,944)
County expenditures as reported on the financial statements:	\$ 47,987	\$ 49,981

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Section 1 - Summary of Auditor's Results

<u>Financial Statements</u>				
Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified Regulatory Basis			
Internal control over financial reporting:				
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes X No			
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	X YesNone Reported			
Any noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	X YesNo			
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major programs:				
Material weakness(es) identified?	X YesNo			
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes X None Reported			
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified			
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 2 CFR section 200.516(a)?	X Yes No			
Identification of Major Programs:				
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster			
10.665 14.228	Schools and Roads - Grants to States Community Development Block Grant Program/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii			
15.226 16.922	Payments in Lieu of Taxes Equitable Sharing Program			
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$ 750,000			
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes X No			

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings

2016-001 *Condition:* Documentation of the County's internal controls has not been prepared for all offices.

Criteria: Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 115, *Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit*, which is effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2009, as amended by SAS No. 122 *Statements on Auditing Standards: Clarification and Recodification*, considers inadequate documentation of the components of internal control to be at least a significant deficiency.

Effect: Without documented internal controls, the County may not be able to ensure that controls are in place, communicated and operating effectively.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of internal controls for all offices.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County develop the required internal control documentation. In addition, we recommend studying the COSO internal control guidance and tools as a means to begin the process. Once this documentation is complete, those charged with governance have a responsibility to understand the controls and ensure they are operating effectively.

Management's Response: Internal control methodology will be reflected across the county as a whole; the written policy maintained by the Treasurer will be inculcated into a county-wide framework. The expected completion date is December 31, 2018. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

2016-002 *Condition:* During our audit, we noted there is no formal fraud risk assessment in place.

Criteria: Antifraud programs and controls are the policies and procedures put in place by an organization to help ensure that management directives are carried out. They are part of the overall system of internal control established to achieve reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Effect: Lack of an appropriate fraud risk assessment process may result in certain risks not being identified by County's management. Opportunities to commit and conceal a fraud or irregularity may go undetected by management without proper assessment procedures.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of risk assessments, including identifying risks and mitigating controls.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County address various risks in the environment, including risk of fraud occurring by performing assessments to identify, analyze, and manage these risks.

Management's Response: The initial report of the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission, more than twenty years ago, included as a major component an assessment of risk and elaborated upon organizational strategies for mitigating said risk. These strategies, which included authorizations, verifications, reconciliations, and segregation of duties, are felt by the County to be inextricably linked to internal control. Hence the County feels that proper internal control methodology will inevitably yield extensive and thorough fraud risk assessment, and thus expects written fraud risk guidelines to be a byproduct of the response to Finding 2016-001. The expected completion date is December 31, 2018. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings (continued)

2016-003 *Condition:* During the audit, it was noted the County was not in compliance with Missouri budgetary statute RSMo. Chapter 50. The following funds had actual expenditures which exceeded the budgeted expenditures in 2016: Use Tax Fund, Sheriff's Training Fund, Sheriff's Drug Enforcement Training Fund, Sheriff's Civil Fee Fund, Sheriff's Revolving Fund, Sheriff's Inmate Security Fund, Shelter Fund, Jay White Estate Fund, Developmentally Disabled Fund, Senior Companions Fund, and the Senate Bill 40 Board Fund. The following funds had actual expenditures which exceeded the budgeted expenditures in 2015: Assessment Fund, Crisis Intervention Fund, Election Services Fund, Sheriff's Revolving Fund, Law Enforcement Fund, Inmate Security Fund, Prosecuting Attorney Drug Enforcement Fund, Jay White Estate Fund, and the Senate Bill 40 Board Fund. The following fund did not have a budget prepared for 2016: Community Development Block Grant Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared for 2015: Special Election Fund and Community Development Block Grant Fund.

Criteria: Missouri statutes requires Counties to prepare an annual budget as applicable to each fund. Expenditures are not to exceed the budget and funds are not to be budgeted at a deficit.

Effect: The County is in violation of Missouri Revised Statutes due to exceeding budgets in certain funds and not prepared budgets for certain funds.

Cause: Lack of oversight.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County adopt a budget for all funds, and periodically review its actual expenditures as compared to budgeted amounts. An amended budget should be prepared and approved as necessary to comply with statutes.

Management's Response: A budget was prepared in 2016 for the CDBG fund, contrary to the statement of findings; it was placed at the back of the budget book since it was considered extra-budgetary. CDBG monies are receipted into, and paid out of, a non-interest-bearing (as required by federal law) checking account which stands alone outside of the Phelps County General Fund. For this reason the CDBG fund, which maintains no balance as disbursements must be made within 5 days of their receipt from the Missouri Department of Economic Development, has been considered "extra-budgetary" and was put into the closing portions of the hardbound budget for 2016. Beginning in 2017, however, the CDBG fund was incorporated in the Missouri State Auditor's spreadsheet document, though there continues to be no representation of the CDBG fund per se in the financial software structure. The Developmentally Disabled fund should have been amended for increased expenditure to the Mental Health Trust Fund which was requested. The Use Tax and Jay White Fund expenditures were only budgeted as transfers of the prior year's accrued interest; the clerk now knows how to construct the budget so that this expense will be reflected accurately instead of as an estimate. Remaining funds spent in excess of budgeted amounts were never spent without cash balance funds available and never without elected official/department head approval.

The county's financial software prevents expenditures in excess of monies available in a given fund; disbursements simply cannot be generated. Department heads receive budget performance reports for the funds under their guidance and control at the end of every month. The county feels that avoidance of "budget creep" by tight control of budgeted expenditures is a worthwhile goal. Amending the budget upward will result in pressure to leave the given expenditure at the higher level for the next year.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings (continued)

2016-003 Many of these statements equally apply to the 2015 Funds mentioned. Again, the Jay White fund was not budgeted (cont.) with an accurate interest transfer figure, simply due to the clerk's inexperience. Crisis Intervention Fund cannot be accurately predicted, as funds received and disbursed depend on the needs of the community; sometimes transactions extend into the next calendar year. Regardless, this fund does not accrue any cash reserve; all monies received are disbursed when instructions to do so are received from the Department of Social Services. The over expenditure in Election Services was wholly due to an omission in budgeting for an equipment warranty expense, which should have been addressed with a budget amendment. The expected completion date is December 31, 2018. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Section 3 - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost

2016-004 Federal Grantor: All Programs

Pass-Through Grantor: All Programs
Federal CFDA Number: All Programs
Program Title: All Programs

Condition: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) contained errors.

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200 requires auditees to prepare an accurate Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) containing awards expended, CFDA title and number, award number, name of the Federal agency, and name of pass-through entity.

Effect: Federal expenditures reported in the SEFA were incorrect.

Cause: Management did not follow reporting requirements related to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Recommendation: We recommend management develop internal controls over reporting and consult with outside accountants, if possible, to ensure an accurate SEFA is prepared.

Management's Response: Strenuous efforts will continue in the preparation of an accurate SEFA. Office holders responsible for the receipt of federal monies, state pass-through agencies, and the guidance of the State Auditor's Office will be recruited for their assistance. The expected completion date is December 31, 2018. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Responses For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, this section reports the auditor's follow-up on action taken by Phelps County, Missouri, on the applicable findings in the prior audit report issued for the two years ended December 31, 2014.

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings

14/13-001 *Condition:* Documentation of the County's internal controls has not been prepared.

Criteria: Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 115, *Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit*, which is effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2009, as amended by SAS No. 122 *Statements on Auditing Standards: Clarification and Recodification*, considers inadequate documentation of the components of internal control to be at least a significant deficiency.

Effect: Without documented internal controls, the County may not be able to ensure that controls are in place, communicated and operating effectively.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of internal controls.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County develop the required internal control documentation. In addition, we recommend studying the COSO internal control guidance and tools as a means to begin the process. Once this documentation is complete, those charged with governance have a responsibility to understand the controls and ensure they are operating effectively.

Management's Response: Internal control methodology will be reflected across the county as a whole; the written policy maintained by the Treasurer will be inculcated into a county-wide framework. The expected completion date is December 31, 2015. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue and the finding will be repeated in the current year as finding 2016-001. This finding initially occurred in the 2008 and 2007 fiscal years.

14/13-002 *Condition:* During our audit, we noted there is no formal fraud risk assessment in place.

Criteria: Antifraud programs and controls are the policies and procedures put in place by an organization to help ensure that management directives are carried out. They are part of the overall system of internal control established to achieve reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Effect: Lack of an appropriate fraud risk assessment process may result in certain risks not being identified by County's management. Opportunities to commit and conceal a fraud or irregularity may go undetected by management without proper assessment procedures.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of risk assessments, including identifying risks and mitigating controls.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County address various risks in the environment, including risk of fraud occurring by performing assessments to identify, analyze, and manage these risks.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Responses For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings

14/13-002 *Management's Response:* The initial report of the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the (cont.) Treadway Commission, more than twenty years ago, included as a major component an assessment of risk and elaborated upon organizational strategies for mitigating said risk. These strategies, which included authorizations, verifications, reconciliations, and segregation of duties, are felt by the County to be inextricably linked to internal control. Hence the County feels that proper internal control methodology will inevitably yield extensive and thorough fraud risk assessment, and thus expects written fraud risk guidelines to be a byproduct of the response to Finding 14/13–001. The expected completion date is December 31, 2015. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue and the finding will be repeated in the current year as finding 2016-002. This finding initially occurred in the 2008 and 2007 fiscal years.

14/13-003 *Condition:* During our audit, we noted two funds for which no budget was prepared.

Criteria: Missouri statutes requires Counties to prepare an annual budget and expenditures are not to exceed the budget.

Effect: Due to not preparing a budget in two funds, the County is in violation of Missouri Revised Statutes.

Cause: Lack of oversight.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County adopt a budget for all funds, and periodically review its actual expenditures as compared to budgeted amounts. An amended budget should be prepared and approved as necessary to comply with statutes.

Management's Response: These funds, Special Elections and Community Development Block Grants (CDBG), do have idiosyncrasies not found in the other funds of the County. Special Elections is dependent on candidate races and ballot issues which are determined by the will of political subdivisions operating independently from the county; thus, the Special Elections fund must respond to the often unpredictable demands of these outside political subdivisions for electoral input, and consists of monies in the form of election deposits from those political subdivisions which the office of the County Clerk—as election authority—must administrate. The County Clerk is, however, willing to prepare a budget for Special Elections to the extent possible. CDBG funds have been included in the budget appendix, in the past, and the County will adhere to this practice. The expected completion date is December 31, 2015. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue and the finding will be repeated in the current year as finding 2016-003. This finding initially occurred in the 2014 and 2013 fiscal years.

14/13-004 *Condition:* During our audit, we noted that the three signatures required on all checks are being imprinted automatically by the accounting system without any formal approval process.

Criteria: Strong internal control over financial statements require that there be a formal approval process over signatures being automatically imprinted by the accounting system.

Effect: Signed cash disbursement checks could be issued without the knowledge of those authorized to sign checks due to either error or fraud.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Responses For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings

14/13-004 *Cause:* Accounting system was setup without limitation on user rights. (cont.)

Recommendation: We recommend that the County develop a process in which all signatures cannot be imprinted on checks without the knowledge of those who are authorized to sign checks.

Management's Response: The Commission reviews each payment batch register presented with invoices which have check numbers and EFT numbers affixed; this allows not only for Commission oversight but that of the County Clerk as well. Sequential numbering of checks and EFT's can be documented with the invoices they represent. The Commission must sign off on these payment batches, as well as payroll payment batches which are treated essentially the same way. No disbursements are made without these approvals. The County is currently considering a secure log-in approval mechanism with the guidance of its financial management software provider. The expected completion date is December 31, 2015. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Status: This issue has been corrected. This finding initially occurred in the 2014 and 2013 fiscal years.

14/13-005 *Condition:* During our audit, we noted that journal entries are being made into the accounting system without the formal approval of the Commission, County Clerk, or Treasurer.

Criteria: Strong internal control over financial statements require that there be a formal approval process over journal entries into the accounting system.

Effect: Entries into the accounting system can be made that affect revenues, expenses, and fund balance without approval due to either error or fraud.

Cause: The County does not have any controls related to entering journal entries into the accounting system.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County develop a process to approve all journal entries being made into the accounting system. This should be part of the documentation of internal controls as discussed in finding 14/13-001.

Management's Response: The monthly journal entries consist in large measure of transfers approved within the annual budget. Salary journal entries requested by the elected official require, as do the monthly transfers, Treasurer approval. The expected completion date is December 31, 2015. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Status: This issue has been corrected. This finding initially occurred in the 2014 and 2013 fiscal years.

14/13-006 *Condition:* During our audit, we noted that payroll reports are not being reviewed or approved prior to the disbursement of cash to employees being paid.

Criteria: Strong internal control over financial statements require that there be a formal approval process over payroll prior to the disbursement of cash to employees.

Effect: Payroll could be processed incorrectly either due to error or fraud.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Responses For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings

14/13-006 *Cause:* The County does not have any controls related to the approval of payroll. (cont.)

Recommendation: We recommend that the County develop a process to approve all payroll reports prior to the disbursement of cash to employees. This should be part of the documentation of internal controls as discussed in finding 14/13 - 001.

Management's Response: This has been corrected; payroll batches and their supporting documentation are presented to the Commission for their review and approval before disbursements can be initiated. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Status: This issue has been corrected. This finding initially occurred in the 2014 and 2013 fiscal years.

14/13-007 Federal Grantor: All Programs

Pass-Through Grantor: All Programs
Federal CFDA Number: All Programs
Program Title: All Programs

Condition: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) contained errors.

Criteria: OMB Circular A-133 requires auditees to prepare an accurate SEFA containing awards expended, CFDA title and number, award number, name of the Federal agency, and name of the pass-through entity.

Effect: Federal expenditures reported on the SEFA were incorrect.

Cause: Management was unaware of the reporting requirements related to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Recommendation: We recommend that management develop internal controls over reporting and consult with outside accountants when needed to ensure an accurate SEFA is prepared.

Management's Response: The County is aware that numerous counties have problems with preparing accurate SEFA reports. Phelps County continues to emphasize accuracy in the SEFA and will continue efforts to identify these expenditures accurately. The expected completion date is December 31, 2015. The phone number for the Clerk's office is 573-458-6115.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue and the finding will be repeated in the current year as finding 2016-004. This finding initially occurred in the 2014 and 2013 fiscal years.